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Please indicate contract route and the number of words in this journal in the table above:

If you are pursuing the “Pass” route you are to write about **TWO (2)** items from each section (each from a different unit). If you are pursuing the “Honours” route you are to write about **THREE (3)** items from each section (each from a different unit).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Section A** | **Contemporary readings from the text and / or substantial handouts used in class.**  **(Pass do two; Honours do three)** | | | |
|  |  | Article Name | Page | OK |
|  | Unit 8 |  |  |  |
|  |  | *Conflict – p. 314* |  |  |
|  |  | *Federalist #10 – p. 337* |  |  |
|  |  | *Chimate Chumbolo – p. 326* |  |  |
|  | Unit 9 | *Choosing Strategies for Change – p. 374* |  |  |
|  |  | *Dealing with Resistance to Change – p. 388* |  |  |
|  | Unit 10 | *Long Road to Freedom – p.436* |  |  |
|  |  | *Leadership as Empowering Others – p. 446* |  |  |
|  |  | *From Transactional to Transformational –p. 453* |  |  |
|  | Unit 11 | *Tao Te Ching – p. 491* |  |  |
|  |  | *The Jungle – p. 503* |  |  |
| **Section B** | **Readings not done in class (from the textbook and articles posted on the Moodle)**  **(Pass do two; Honours do three)** | | | |
|  |  |  | Page | OK |
|  | Unit 8 | *Emotional Intelligence: Implications for Human Resource Development (Moodle)* |  |  |
|  | Unit 9 | *Letter from Birmingham Jail – p. 392* |  |  |
|  | Unit 10 | *Three Roles of the Leader in the New Paradigm – p. 475* |  |  |
|  | Unit 11 | *The Sole Proper Claim to Political Power – p. 485* |  |  |
| **Section C** | **Classic Cases**  **(Pass do two; Honours do three)** | | | |
|  |  |  | Page | OK |
|  | Unit 8 | *The Iliad – p. 315* |  |  |
|  | Unit 9 | *Allegory of the Cave – p.362* |  |  |
|  | Unit 10 | *Antigone – p.407* |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Section D** | **Films or videos used in class**  **(Pass do two; Honours do three)** | | | |
|  |  |  | Page | OK |
|  | Unit 8 | *Crash* |  |  |
|  | Unit 9 | *Discover the Future Paradigm Principles* |  |  |
|  |  | *At first sight* |  |  |
|  | Unit 10 | *Threads of Hope* |  |  |
|  |  | *Moon & Fisher – Approtec* |  |  |
|  |  | *Norma Rae* |  |  |
| **Section E** | **Experiential Exercises (what you learned from participating / observing)**  **(Pass do two; Honours do three)** | | | |
|  |  |  | Page | OK |
|  | Unit 8 | *Labels Exercise* |  |  |
|  | Unit 9 | *Same again wine company* |  |  |
|  | Unit 10 |  |  |  |
|  | Unit 11 |  |  |  |
|  |  | | | |

# A – Federalist #10

There was a little bit of confusion in the definitions of the words democracy vs republic. It’s probably because we English speakers tend to misuse the word ‘democracy’. We tend to call a government ‘democratic’ when what we really mean is ‘republic’.

The definition of democracy that I’m familiar with is one where the people vote on every single decision no matter how small or irrelevant. Should we raise the user fees for some obscure phone line? Vote on it. This is obviously an unmaintainable mess that can’t scale past the city states that the system originated in.

Instead what modern democracy has turned into is what Madison would have called a republic. We elect officials that we generally agree with to make decisions for us. It has the downside of turning a lot of power over to a small number of people. The upside is that the entire population isn’t constantly involved in voting.

# A – Dealing with Resistance to Change

Smarter you are – the dumber you act. Smart people might be more likely to resist change by making excuses.

# E – Labels Exercise

For me the best part of this exercise was the look on Monsour’s face when we all just started laughing at him for no reason. He had gotten the “laugh at everything I say” label. He looked so shocked and confused, it was great.

I can imagine how that awful it would be if something like that actually happened. I got the “constantly say my name” tag and it was a little awkward. The way the conversation was going I was wondering if my tag was “ask me lots of questions”. It certainly seemed that way because normally I prefer to silently observe conversations but I wasn’t being allowed to do that. It was a little weird for me in that sense.

# E – Same Again Wine Company

I feel compelled to defend my opinion that this company’s employment situation is not critical. When I hear the word ‘critical’ I think of something that needs to be fixed immediately. While I agree that changes are necessary I don’t agree that they need to happen immediately.

The way to make those changes immediately is to (for example) fire half the accounting department and replace them with males. This is a bad idea for a number of reasons. First, you are causing a major disruption in a team of people which are productive. Second, you are losing vital knowledge to the operations of the business. Accounting system knowledge, contacts with external suppliers, etc. Third, the remaining disgruntled staff will have to train new employees while doing their current job. Fourth, the remaining employees will look at the new employees with a lot of resentment over their lost friends. Clearly this is a pretty bad situation to put the company in and not a realistic option. Even if you implement this slowly, the problem will still remain.

So let’s look at the reasons you would want to balance out the ratios in a department so quickly. In class there was some concern about potential lawsuits. I Am Not A Lawyer, but I’m not particularly worried about the potential for lawsuits. The reason is I don’t think it’s possible to file a lawsuit because a company’s departments are unbalanced. The reason a person would be able to file a discrimination lawsuit is because they were turned down for a position because of discrimination. It is possible that the voting system could result in a discrimination lawsuit.

It is for that reason that I recommended dropping the voting system that is currently in place. I think it’s fair to say that the voting system as it is can’t keep going and that the hiring policy needs to change. It’s pretty standard practice to hire based on merit. The company can continue to consider personality but keep in mind that personality can be managed to an extent.

By just changing the hiring policy, the required changes will take place as turnover continues to occur naturally. There won’t be any additional strain to train the new employees, or resentment from current employees, or major sudden brain drain and the team will remain productive. You can even monitor the situation as it progresses to make sure that there isn’t any clique type problems forming. To me this is the best case scenario for the company and its employees.